

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/47:	5 470 06	07/95 SAMULSKI	R 115132-4

HM21/0901

ARNOLD B. SILVERMAN ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT 600 GRANT STREET, 42ND FLOOR PITTDBURGH PA 15219

EXA	AMINER			
NELLSON, A				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
16	49 22			

DATE MAILED:

09/01/98

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

□ тн	E PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) 🗌	is extended to run	or continues to run	_ from the date of the final rejection			
ь) 🗀			te of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no nonths from the date of the final rejection.			
	The date on which the response, the purposes of determining the period of	petition, and the fee have been filed is the extension and the corresponding amoun	(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. e date of the response and also the date for the t of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR riod for response or as set forth in b) above.			
⊠ Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with	, ' }				
	plicant's response to the final rejection, place the application in condition for all		idered with the following effect, but it is not deemed			
1. 🗵	The proposed amendments to the clai	m and /or specification will not be entered	and the final rejection stands because:			
	a. There is no convincing showing presented.	under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the propose	d amendment is necessary and was not earlier			
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
	c. They raise the issue of new ma	tter. (See Note).				
	d. They are not deemed to place appeal.	the application in better form for appeal b	y materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
	e. They present additional claims	without cancelling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE:					
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims_the non-allowable claims.	would be allowed if s	ubmitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling			
3. 🔀	Upon the filing an appeal, the propose be as follows:	ed amendment 🗌 will be entered 🔀 w	ill not be entered and the status of the claims will			
	Claims allowed:					
	Claims objected to: 1-35 200	39				
	However;					
	Applicant's response has overcor	ne the following rejection(s):				
4. 🔀	The affidavit, exhibit or request for rec 112, frost is mintained	consideration has been considered but do	es not overcome the rejection because rejection unds as on in vivo and sk vivo uses only Ehalds in vivo or se vivo application of			
5. 🗀		idered because applicant has not shown				
ں	presented.	.,,	uglas W. Rebins hu			
_		has not been approved by the exa	miner. Supervisory Paterviskaminer Technology Center 1500			
Oth	er invention. The results so for ex vivo method of teratment in human or or (REV. 5.89)	builted in the Samulski D Expressing genes in a prima in a recognised animal mod	eclaration filled 3/13/9% only provide quid to but provide no avidance for theoperated for a specific condition.			